

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,075	09/09/2003	Dennie J.M. van den Heuvel	SYN-0031D1	9183	
38427 75	90 04/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARK R. BUSCHER			CHOI, FRANK I		
P.O. BOX 161 CATHARPIN,	VA 20143		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1616	_ _	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/657,075	VAN DEN HEUVEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frank I Choi	1616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 41-57 is/are pending in the application	ı .				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 41-57 is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,				
10) 🔲	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priorit					
	application from the International Bureau		in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	` '	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Motice of Informal Pat	e ent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/9/2003</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/657,075

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel et al. (US Pat. 6,248,363).

Patel et al. discloses methods of preparing pellets by combining solvent, such as water, pharmaceutically active agent, such as zolpidem or salt thereof, and microcrystalline cellulose and drying through utilizing appropriate drying processes such as vacuum evaporation, heating etc. (Column 6, lines 7-11, Column 36, lines 40, Column 45, lines 40-68, Column 46, lines 16-62).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does note expressly disclose the formation of pellets by combining a solvent and pharmceutical agent, carrier to form a wet mixture, wherein the solvent is not combined by spraying, stirring, chopping or both to form pellets and drying. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as processes for forming pellets are disclosed by the cited prior art including the process described above. As such, it would have been well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art as desired with the expectation that the process would form suitable pharmaceutical pellets.

Art Unit: 1616

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (571)272-0610. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Thurman Page, can be reached at (571)272-0602. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-1600. FIC

April 6, 2004

JOHN PAK
PRIMARY EXAMINER